

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,568	12/22/2003	Isador H. Lieberman	L29-6224NP 7997	
75	90 08/25/2004	EXAMINER		
	JNDHEIM, COVELL	GRANT, ALVIN J		
SUITE 1111 526 SUPERIOF	R AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND,	OH 44114-1400	3723	·	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					121			
	٠ ١.٠	Application No	0.	Applicant(s)	1,44			
	10/743,568		LIEBERMAN, ISADOR H.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Alvin J Grant		3723				
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	ATE of this communication a	ppears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
THE MAILING DATE C - Extensions of time may be available Extensions of time may be available If the period for reply specified If NO period for reply is specified Failure to reply within the set	UTORY PERIOD FOR REP OF THIS COMMUNICATION aliable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 te mailing date of this communication. I above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- ted above, the maximum statutory perion for extended period for reply will, by statu- ce later than three months after the mail tt. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, ho eply within the statutory n od will apply and will expirute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timeninimum of thirty (30) days to SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c	ly. ommunication.			
Status								
2a) ☐ This action is FIN 3) ☐ Since this applica	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above 5)	are rejected.	awn from conside						
Application Papers								
10) The drawing(s) file Applicant may not a Replacement draw	is objected to by the Examined on is/are: a) accepted and any objection to the ing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Examine	ccepted or b) ole e drawing(s) be hele ection is required if t	d in abeyance. See he drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119							
12) Acknowledgment a) All b) Some 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of t application	is made of a claim for foreig	nts have been rec nts have been rec ority documents h au (PCT Rule 17.	eived. eived in Application nave been receive 2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited Notice of Draftsperson's Pa Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	s) 5) <u></u>	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/743,568

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality:

Page 4, line 20, reference is made to "body portion 26"; however item 26 is not identified in any of the figures.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "said at least one handle" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,568

Art Unit: 3723

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sweatt '391 in view of Marceca '279.

Sweatt discloses an apparatus for removing a cork from inside a mouth of a bottle, the apparatus comprising: at least one member for engaging the outside of the mouth of the bottle, at least one handle connected to the at least one member, and a shaft operatively coupled with the at least one handle so that movement of the at least one handle member rotates the shaft; the bottle has an oversized mouth and the cork is correspondingly sized, the at least one member being adapted to mate with the oversized mouth of the bottle; at least one member comprises a lever pivotally attached to the at least one handle; the shaft is pivotally attached to the at least one handle; and a frame and a support member connected by an axially extending rod, the support member being movable relative to the frame, the shaft being mounted to and projecting from the support member; the frame and the support member connected by an axially extending rod, the support member being movable to the frame, the shaft being mounted to and projecting from the support member. Sweatt does not specifically disclose a shaft having at least two helical spikes projecting therefrom. Marceca discloses a corkscrew wherein the shaft has two helical spikes projecting therefrom so as to dissipate the force exerted on the cork while it is being extracted. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the corkscrew of Sweatt to have two helical spikes projecting from an end portion of the shaft as taught by Marceca so as to dissipate the force exerted on the cork while it is being extracted.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,568

Art Unit: 3723

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Grant whose telephone number is (703) 305-3315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ajg

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700